## <u>Cabinet Meeting held on 19 March 2024 – Urgent Update</u>

## Item 18 - Maidstone Borough Local Plan Review 2021-38 Adoption

## PIED PAC resolution:

### **RESOLVED to RECOMMEND to the CABINET:**

- 1. That the Council be recommended to adopt the Maidstone Borough Local Plan Review (2021-2038) at Appendix D to the report of the Cabinet of 19 March 2024, which incorporates the Inspector's Main Modifications, and the Policies Map at Appendix E to the report of the Cabinet of 19 March 2024.
- 2. That the Council be recommended to give delegated authority to the Head of Spatial Planning & Economic Development to make any non-material, typographical corrections, and formatting changes, as required.

## Points raised by the PIED PAC:

- Local Plan Review (LPR) produced with cross-party consensus and significant Member engagement;
- LPR is a comprehensive document, supported by multiple appraisals and assessments (see appendices), multiple stages of public consultation and examinations having been held;
- The Planning Inspector had reviewed the LPR and found it to be a sound document, supported by his Main Modifications (Appendix A to the report);
- Members highlighted that the LPR would not please everybody, but that it
  was important to have an agreed Local Plan to guide and support
  sustainable development across the borough, in accordance with the
  Council's policy. The Council had to provide Housing in accordance with
  the figures provided by Central Government;
- Without an agreed LP, the Council would have less control over the location and type of development coming forward. This would also mean less power should developers appeal to the Planning Inspectorate against a Council decision;
- Some Members expressed opposition to the LPR as proposed, particularly in relation to the Lidsing Garden Community proposal generally and its reliance on public transport';

In response, confirmation was given that:

- The Council had met its Duty to Co-operate with Medway Council in relation to the Lidsing Garden Community proposal;
- The final decision on the LPRs adoption would be made by the Council;
- Without an agreed plan, the Council would need to apply the National Planning Policy Framework definition of sustainable development when considering planning applications, rather than the detailed local requirements set out in the Local Plan Review;
- If the LPR was not agreed, the Council's 5-year Housing Land Supply would not be met and the Council's evidence base to support its housing numbers would expire after 30 March 2024. This would give the Council less control over development;
- If the Local Plan Review is adopted then Supplementary Planning Documents would be produced for the Heathlands, Lidsing and Invicta Barracks proposals, which provide more detailed requirements for development.
- The requirements relating to transport have been reviewed with further requirements added by the Planning Inspector through Main Modifications.

# Item 19 - 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Finance, Performance and Risk Monitoring Report 2023/24.

CS PAC resolution below, all other PACs noted report:

#### **RESOLVED to RECOMMEND to CABINET:** That

- 1. The Revenue position as at the end of Quarter 3 for 2023/24, including the actions being taken or proposed to improve the position, where significant variances have been identified, be noted;
- 2. The Capital position at the end of Quarter 3 for 2023/24 be noted;
- 3. The Performance position as at Quarter 3 for 2023/24, including the actions being taken or proposed to improve the position, where significant issues have been identified, be noted;
- 4. The UK Shared Prosperity Fund update, attached at Appendix 3 to the report, be noted;
- 5. The Risk Update, attached at Appendix 4 to the report, be noted;
- 6. The uncollectable Business Rates (NNDR) listed at Appendix 5 to the report be approved for write-off by Cabinet, and;

7. The new contract approval levels shown at Appendix 6 to the report be approved. These changes will then need approval by Democracy and General Purposes Committee before final approval by Council.

## **Item 20 - Draft Key Performance Indicators**

HHE PAC recommended as per the papers.

CS PAC recommendation:

## **RESOLVED to RECOMMEND to CABINET:** That

- 1. The draft Performance Indicators at Appendix A of the report be agreed;
- 2. The changes to reporting arrangements be noted, and;
- 3. An additional Key Performance Indicator relating to Freedom of Information Requests be added to the Corporate Services draft Performance Indicators.

## **Item 22 - Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy 2024-2029**

HHE PAC recommended as per the papers.

## Item 25 - Demolition Contract – Approval to demolish Former Royal Mail Sorting Office buildings and Cantium House

HHE PAC recommended as per the papers.